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#### Abstract

The two non-isotypical rubidium rare-earth(III) thiophosphates $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} M_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ of praseodymium and erbium can easily be obtained by the stoichiometric reaction of the respective rare-earth metal, red phosphorus and sulfur with an excess of rubidium bromide $(\mathrm{RbBr})$ as flux and rubidium source at $950^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 days in evacuated silica tubes. The pale green platelet-shaped single crystals of $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ as well as the pink rods of $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Er}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ are moisture sensitive. $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ crystallizes triclinically in the space group $P \overline{1}\left(a=926.79(5) \mathrm{pm}, b=1050.83(5) \mathrm{pm}, c=1453.28(7) \mathrm{pm}, \alpha=84.329(4)^{\circ}, \beta=88.008(4)^{\circ}, \gamma=80.704(4)^{\circ} ; Z=2\right), \mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Er}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ monoclinically in the space group $P 2_{1} / n\left(a=915.97(5) \mathrm{pm}, b=1575.86(7) \mathrm{pm}, c=1843.32(9) \mathrm{pm}, \beta=95.601(6)^{\circ} ; Z=4\right)$. In both structures, there are three crystallographically different rare-earth cations present. $(M 1)^{3+}$ is eightfold coordinated in the shape of a square antiprism, $(M 2)^{3+}$ and $(M 3)^{3+}$ are both surrounded by eight sulfur atoms as bicapped trigonal prisms each with a coordination number of eight as well as for the praseodymium, but better described as $\mathrm{CN}=7+1$ in the case of the erbium compound. These $\left[M \mathrm{~S}_{8}\right]^{13-}$ polyhedra form a layer according to ${ }_{\infty}^{2}\left\{\left[M_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}\right]^{3-}\right\}$ by sharing edges with the isolated $\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ tetrahedra $\left(d(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{S})=200-209 \mathrm{pm}, \Varangle(\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{S})=102-116^{\circ}\right)$. These layers are stacked with a repetition period of three in the case of the praseodymium compound, but of only two for the erbium analog. The rubidium cation (Rb1) ${ }^{+}$is located in cavities of these layers and tenfold coordinated in the shape of a tetracapped trigonal antiprism. The also tenfold but more irregularly coordinated rubidium cations $(\mathrm{Rb} 2)^{+}$and $(\mathrm{Rb} 3)^{+}$reside between the layers.
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## 1. Introduction

Since the simple ternary rare-earth ortho-thiophosphates $M\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right](M=\mathrm{La}-\mathrm{Nd}, \mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Gd}-\mathrm{Lu}, \mathrm{Y}, \mathrm{Sc})$ [1-3] have been known for a long time, recent interests focus on their derivatives with the alkali metals ( $A=\mathrm{Li}-\mathrm{Cs}$ ). To date, many new compounds have been found to exist not only with ortho-thiophosphate anions $\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]^{3-}$, but also with ethane-like $\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{6}\right]^{4-}$ units (e.g., $\mathrm{KLa}\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{6}\right][4]$ ) or even both (e.g., $\mathrm{K}_{4} M_{2}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{6}\right] ; M=\mathrm{La}$ [4], Nd [5]), as well as pyroanionic $\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{7}\right]^{4-}$ anions emerging in

[^0]$\mathrm{KSm}\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{7}\right.$ ] [6]. What is remarkable about the orthothiophosphates containing alkali metals is that they are mostly derivatives of potassium so far. Only few are known with sodium, e.g., $\mathrm{Na} M\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{6}\right](M=\mathrm{Sm}, \mathrm{Yb}[6])$ or cesium, e.g., $\mathrm{Cs}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{5}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{6}$ [7] and $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \mathrm{Pr}_{2}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{6}\right]$ [8]. The aim of this work was to determine if rubidiumcontaining rare-earth(III) thiophosphates follow the concept of known compounds by building up isotypical structures with the potassium or cesium analogs or if they form new motifs. With the title compounds $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ (triclinic) and $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Er}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ (monoclinic) the first synthesis of rubidium-containing rare-earth thiophosphates took place. The crystal structures of these new ortho-thiophosphates follow known patterns
in building up layers containing the rare-earth cations as well as discrete thiophosphate units and therefore constitute a new stoichiometry: $A_{3} M_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$.

## 2. Experimental

The first basic approach was to synthesize $\mathrm{Rb}_{4} \mathrm{Pr}_{2}\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{6}\right]\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{2}$ in analogy to the pseudo-isotypical potassium $[4,5]$ and cesium compounds $[7,8]$ already known from the elements (praseodymium: ChemPur, $99.9 \%$; red phosphorus: Knapsack, $99.999 \%$; sulfur: ChemPur, $99.999 \%$ ) with an excess of rubidium bromide ( RbBr : ChemPur, $99.9 \%$ ) as flux and rubidium source according to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 10 \mathrm{Pr}+12 \mathrm{P}+42 \mathrm{~S}+12 \mathrm{RbBr} \\
& \quad \rightarrow 3 \mathrm{Rb}_{4} \mathrm{Pr}_{2}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{6}\right]+4 \mathrm{PrBr}_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

The intended reaction did not take place but pale green platelet-shaped single crystals of $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ were obtained instead. Thereafter, it was possible to synthesize the erbium compound with the same chemical formula in stoichiometric reactions of the elements too, again with an excess of rubidium bromide $(\mathrm{RbBr})$ as flux
and rubidium source according to
$4 \mathrm{Er}+4 \mathrm{P}+16 \mathrm{~S}+3 \mathrm{RbBr} \rightarrow \mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Er}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}+\mathrm{ErBr}_{3}$.
In both cases, the reactions were carried out in torchsealed evacuated silica ampoules at $950^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 14 days. The green platelets of $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ and the pink rods of $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Er}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ turned out to be moisture sensitive. They were both examined by single crystal X-ray diffraction on a $\kappa$-CCD diffractometer (Nonius, Delft/NL). The results of the structure determinations as well as important internuclear distances and angles can be taken from Tables 1-3 (see [9-11]).

## 3. Results and discussion

$\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ crystallizes triclinically in the space group $P \overline{1} \quad(a=926.79(5) \mathrm{pm}, \quad b=1050.83(5) \mathrm{pm}, \quad c=$ $1453.28(7) \mathrm{pm}, \quad \alpha=84.329(4)^{\circ}, \quad \beta=88.008(4)^{\circ}, \quad \gamma=$ 80.704(4) $; ~ Z=2$ ), but $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Er}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ monoclinically in the space group $P 2_{1} / n \quad(a=915.97(5) \mathrm{pm}, \quad b=$ $1575.86(7) \mathrm{pm}, \quad c=1843.32(9) \mathrm{pm}, \quad \beta=95.601(6)^{\circ}$; $Z=4$ ) (see Table 1). Both structures are closely related, being built up of isolated $\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ tetrahedra which are

Table 1
Crystallographic data for the thiophosphates $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} M_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}(M=\mathrm{Pr}, \mathrm{Er})$ and their determination

| Formula | $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ | $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Er}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crystal system | Triclinic | Monoclinic |
| Space group | $P \overline{1}$ (No. 2) | $P 2_{1 / n}$ (No. 14) |
| Lattice constants | $a=926.79(5) \mathrm{pm}$ | $a=915.97(5) \mathrm{pm}$ |
|  | $b=1050.83(5) \mathrm{pm}$ | $\mathrm{b}=1575.86(7) \mathrm{pm}$ |
|  | $c=1453.28(7) \mathrm{pm}$ | $\mathrm{c}=1843.32(9) \mathrm{pm}$ |
|  | $\alpha=84.329(4)^{\circ}$ | $\alpha=90^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\beta=88.008(4)^{\circ}$ | $\beta=95.601(6)^{\circ}$ |
|  | $\gamma=80.704(4)^{\circ}$ | $\gamma=90^{\circ}$ |
| Calculated density ( $D_{x}, \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ ) | 3.145 | 3.499 |
| Molar volume ( $V_{\mathrm{m}}, \mathrm{cm}^{3} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1}$ ) | 418.44 ( $Z=2$ ) | 398.67 ( $Z=4$ ) |
| $F(000)$ | 1208 | 2524 |
| Diffractometer/wavelength | $\kappa-\mathrm{CCD}$ (Nonius)/MoK $\alpha$ : $\lambda=71.07 \mathrm{pm}$ |  |
| Index range | $\pm \mathrm{h}=12, \pm \mathrm{k}=13, \pm 1=18$ | $\pm \mathrm{h}=11, \pm \mathrm{k}=20, \pm 1=23$ |
| $\theta$ range | $1.0{ }^{\circ} \leqslant \theta \leqslant 27.5^{\circ}$ | $1.0^{\circ} \leqslant \theta \leqslant 27.5^{\circ}$ |
| Absorption coefficient ( $\mu, \mathrm{mm}^{-1}$ ) | 11.82 | 16.40 |
| Data corrections | Background, polarization and Lorentz factors; numerical absorption correction: program X-SHAPE [9] |  |
| Collected reflections/unique ones | 49181/6362 | 46498/6083 |
| $R_{\text {int }} / R_{\sigma}$ | 0.096/0.055 | 0.098/0.076 |
| Structure solution and refinement | Program package SHELX-93 and -97 [10] |  |
| Scattering factors | International Tables, Vol. C [11] |  |
| $R_{1}$ with $\left\|F_{\mathrm{o}}\right\| \geqslant 4 \sigma\left(F_{\mathrm{o}}\right)$ | 0.057 for 4712 reflections | 0.064 for 4467 reflections |
| $R_{1} / \mathrm{w} R_{2} /$ goodness of fit (GooF) (for all reflections) | 0.086/0.141/1.017 | 0.094/0.148/1.070 |
| Extinction (g) | 0.00002(19) | 0.00043(7) |
| Residual electron density | 5.98 (max.) | 4.10 (max.) |
| $\left(\rho / \mathrm{e}^{-} \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{pm}^{-3}\right)$ | -5.17 (min.) | -3.52 (min.) |

Further details of the crystal structure investigations can be obtained from the Fachinformationszentrum (FIZ) Karlsruhe, D-76344 EggensteinLeopoldshafen, Germany (Fax: $+49(0) 7247 / 808-666$; E-mail: crysdata@fiz-karlsruhe.de), on quoting the depository numbers CSD-414012 for $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ and CSD-414011 for $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Er}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$.

Table 2
Atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic thermal displacement parameters $\left(U_{\mathrm{eq}} / \mathrm{pm}^{2}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ [23] for $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Er}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$

| Atom | Wyckoff position | $x / a$ | $y / b$ | $z / c$ | $U_{\text {eq }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rbl | $2 i$ | $0.26518(13)$ | $0.57619(11)$ | 0.35275(9) | 412(3) |
| Rb2 | $2 i$ | 0.61227(16) | 0.19857(15) | 0.25314(9) | 606(4) |
| Rb3 | $2 i$ | 0.20788(15) | $0.07998(13)$ | 0.07889 (9) | 521(4) |
| Pr1 | $2 i$ | 0.24465(6) | 0.27957(5) | 0.73572(3) | 204(2) |
| Pr2 | $2 i$ | 0.23373(6) | 0.01479(5) | 0.47499(3) | 201(2) |
| Pr3 | $2 i$ | 0.25317(6) | 0.53127(5) | 0.00273(3) | 202(2) |
| P1 | $2 i$ | 0.4492(3) | 0.2504(3) | 0.5130(2) | 217(5) |
| P2 | $2 i$ | 0.9923 (3) | 0.4316(3) | 0.1820(2) | 217(5) |
| P3 | $2 i$ | $0.0055(3)$ | 0.9352(3) | $0.3164(2)$ | 205(5) |
| P4 | $2 i$ | 0.4697(3) | $0.7204(3)$ | 0.0969(2) | 218(5) |
| S1 | $2 i$ | 0.3753(3) | 0.4074(3) | 0.5799(2) | 306(6) |
| S2 | $2 i$ | 0.6568(3) | 0.2506(3) | 0.4677(2) | 323(6) |
| S3 | $2 i$ | 0.3206(3) | 0.2487(3) | 0.4017(2) | 279(6) |
| S4 | $2 i$ | 0.4418(3) | 0.0861(3) | 0.6039(2) | 235(5) |
| S5 | $2 i$ | 0.9246(3) | 0.4683(3) | $0.3107(2)$ | 306(6) |
| S6 | $2 i$ | 0.2116(3) | 0.3731(3) | $0.1715(2)$ | 286(6) |
| S7 | $2 i$ | 0.8931(3) | 0.2916(3) | 0.1348(2) | 300(6) |
| S8 | $2 i$ | 0.0563(3) | 0.3973(3) | 0.9049(2) | 213(5) |
| S9 | $2 i$ | $0.2255(3)$ | 0.9179(3) | 0.2983(2) | 271(6) |
| S10 | $2 i$ | 0.1078(3) | 0.0582(3) | 0.8028(2) | 274(6) |
| S11 | $2 i$ | $0.0535(3)$ | 0.2129(3) | 0.5947(2) | 221(5) |
| S12 | $2 i$ | 0.9473(3) | 0.1020(3) | 0.3816(2) | 228(5) |
| S13 | $2 i$ | 0.2509(3) | $0.7396(3)$ | 0.1167(2) | 297(6) |
| S14 | $2 i$ | 0.4381(3) | 0.1252(3) | 0.8751(2) | 275(6) |
| S15 | $2 i$ | 0.4234(3) | $0.4405(3)$ | 0.8270(2) | 236(5) |
| S16 | $2 i$ | 0.4963(3) | 0.3180(3) | 0.0390(2) | 243(5) |
| Rb1 | $4 e$ | 0.21357(18) | $0.10592(11)$ | 0.93259(8) | 395(4) |
| Rb2 | $4 e$ | 0.09221(19) | 0.03409 (11) | $0.27100(9)$ | 455(4) |
| Rb3 | $4 e$ | 0.20477(19) | $0.49924(12)$ | $0.92184(9)$ | 495(4) |
| Er1 | $4 e$ | 0.19098(6) | 0.27633(4) | 0.41808(3) | 252(2) |
| Er2 | $4 e$ | 0.21359(6) | 0.27318(4) | 0.16400(3) | 220(2) |
| Er3 | $4 e$ | 0.18954(6) | 0.26108(4) | 0.68421(3) | 227(2) |
| P1 | $4 e$ | 0.4197(4) | 0.3597(2) | 0.5816(2) | 219(7) |
| P2 | $4 e$ | 0.4848(4) | 0.1213(2) | 0.7684(2) | 230(7) |
| P3 | $4 e$ | $0.4365(4)$ | 0.3104(2) | 0.0304(2) | 214(7) |
| P4 | $4 e$ | 0.4681(4) | 0.2022(2) | 0.3127(2) | 213(7) |
| S1 | $4 e$ | 0.3608(4) | 0.4049(2) | 0.4801(2) | 306(8) |
| S2 | $4 e$ | 0.1341(4) | 0.1150(2) | $0.1115(2)$ | 268(7) |
| S3 | $4 e$ | $0.2959(4)$ | 0.4150(2) | 0.6552(2) | 251(7) |
| S4 | $4 e$ | 0.3907(4) | 0.2304(2) | 0.5838(2) | 312(8) |
| S5 | $4 e$ | 0.0547(4) | 0.4237(2) | 0.3678(2) | 293(8) |
| S6 | $4 e$ | $0.2689(4)$ | 0.1006(2) | 0.7391 (2) | 259(7) |
| S7 | $4 e$ | 0.0998(4) | 0.4282(2) | 0.1887(2) | 275(7) |
| S8 | $4 e$ | 0.0166(4) | 0.2481(2) | 0.2720(2) | 266(7) |
| S9 | $4 e$ | 0.2151(4) | $0.3119(2)$ | 0.0173(2) | 261(7) |
| S10 | $4 e$ | $0.0375(4)$ | 0.1274(2) | 0.4532(2) | 272(7) |
| S11 | $4 e$ | 0.0248(4) | 0.3082(2) | 0.5371(2) | 258(7) |
| S12 | $4 e$ | 0.4820(4) | 0.3611(2) | 0.1331(2) | 235(7) |
| S13 | $4 e$ | 0.1888(4) | 0.2978(2) | 0.8301(2) | 278(8) |
| S14 | $4 e$ | 0.3650(4) | 0.1402(2) | 0.3903(2) | 267(7) |
| S15 | $4 e$ | $0.3763(4)$ | $0.3219(2)$ | $0.3062(2)$ | 248(7) |
| S16 | $4 e$ | 0.4269(4) | 0.1509(2) | 0.2107(2) | 242(7) |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} U_{\mathrm{eq}}=\frac{1}{3}\left[U_{11}\left(a a^{*}\right)^{2}+U_{22}\left(b b^{*}\right)^{2}+U_{33}(c c *)^{2}+2 U_{12} a b a^{*} b^{*} \cos \gamma+2 U_{13} a c a^{*} c^{*} \cos \beta+2 U_{23} b c b^{*} c^{*} \cos \alpha\right]$.
slightly distorted $(d(\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{S})=200-209 \mathrm{pm}, ~ \Varangle(\mathrm{~S}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{S})=$ $102-116^{\circ}$ ) due to their anisotropic environment by rareearth as well as rubidium cations. The cationic neighborhood of the $\left[(\mathrm{P} 1) \mathrm{S}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ and the $\left[(\mathrm{P} 2) \mathrm{S}_{4}\right]^{3-}$
tetrahedra is almost identical (see Fig. 1) in the praseodymium and the erbium compound with the slight difference that the individual metal to sulfur distances are influenced by the rare-earth trications of

Table 3
Important internuclear distances $(d, \mathrm{pm})$ and angles $\left(\Varangle\right.$, deg) in $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ and $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Er}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$

| Rb1-S3 | 340.3 | Rb2-S2 | 327.0 | Rb3-S9 | 346.0 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rb1-S2 | 348.4 | Rb2-S7 | 329.6 | Rb3-S6 | 348.7 |  |  |
| Rb1-S11 | 350.5 | Rb2-S16 | 338.2 | Rb3-S7 | 349.5 |  |  |
| Rb1-S1 | 354.1 | Rb2-S3 | 340.7 | Rb3-S13 | 352.4 |  |  |
| Rb1-S9 | 356.2 | Rb2-S10 | 356.3 | Rb3-S14 | 362.8 |  |  |
| Rb1-S5 | 361.2 | Rb2-S4 | 356.4 | Rb3-S14' | 366.7 |  |  |
| Rb1-S6 | 364.5 | Rb2-S12 | 362.9 | Rb3-S10 | 376.2 |  |  |
| Rb1-S13 | 367.8 | Rb2-S15 | 382.1 | Rb3-S16 | 393.7 |  |  |
| Rb1-S1 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 368.5 | Rb2-S6 | 402.9 | Rb3-S8 | 406.9 |  |  |
| Rbl-S15 | 381.9 | Rb2-S14 | 413.4 | Rb3-S10' | 418.6 |  |  |
| Pr1-S1 | 285.2 | Pr2-S3 | 280.8 | Pr3-S7 | 281.7 |  |  |
| Pr1-S5 | 288.3 | Pr2-S2 | 286.1 | Pr3-S13 | 287.0 |  |  |
| Pr1-S10 | 289.6 | Pr2-S9 | 286.2 | Pr3-S6 | 287.4 |  |  |
| Pr1-S14 | 293.5 | Pr2-S12 | 292.9 | Pr3-S16 | 293.2 |  |  |
| Pr1-S11 | 296.1 | Pr2-S4 | 296.4 | Pr3-S8 | 295.6 |  |  |
| Pr1-S15 | 297.8 | Pr2-S12 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 299.1 | Pr3-S16 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 302.8 |  |  |
| Pr1-S8 | 319.8 | Pr2-S11 | 308.9 | Pr3-S15 | 310.4 |  |  |
| Pr1-S4 | 323.5 | Pr2-S4 | 323.3 | Pr3-S8 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 313.5 |  |  |
| P1-S1 | 201.0 | P2-S5 | 200.6 | P3-S9 | 202.8 | P4-S13 | 201.9 |
| P1-S2 | 201.2 | P2-S6 | 203.1 | P3-S10 | 204.6 | P4-S14 | 203.2 |
| P1-S3 | 204.5 | P2-S7 | 204.0 | P3-S11 | 205.4 | P4-S15 | 205.6 |
| P1-S4 | 207.7 | P2-S8 | 208.8 | P3-S12 | 206.1 | P4-S16 | 205.8 |
| S1-P1-S2 | 111.3 | S5-P2-S6 | 113.0 | S9-P3-S10 | 114.6 | S13-P4-S14 | 115.4 |
| S1-P1-S3 | 109.4 | S5-P2-S7 | 111.9 | S9-P3-S11 | 111.9 | S13-P4-S15 | 111.8 |
| S1-P1-S4 | 108.6 | S5-P2-S8 | 108.3 | S9-P3-S12 | 104.8 | S13-P4-S16 | 104.7 |
| S2-P1-S3 | 108.6 | S6-P2-S7 | 107.3 | S10-P3-S11 | 107.3 | S14-P4-S15 | 107.6 |
| S2-P1-S4 | 108.7 | S6-P2-S8 | 106.9 | S10-P3-S12 | 111.6 | S14-P4-S16 | 111.7 |
| S3-P1-S4 | 110.2 | S7-P2-S8 | 109.4 | S11-P3-S12 | 106.4 | S15-P4-S16 | 105.2 |
| Rb1-S3 | 341.3 | Rb2-S2 | 326.2 | Rb3-S6 | 339.9 |  |  |
| Rb1-S1 | 343.4 | Rb2-S7 | 329.9 | Rb3-S9 | 343.3 |  |  |
| Rb1-S2 | 344.9 | Rb2-S12 | 335.5 | Rb3-S7 | 348.3 |  |  |
| Rb1-S5 | 348.4 | Rb2-S3 | 338.2 | Rb3-S13 | 359.3 |  |  |
| Rb1-S11 | 354.9 | Rb2-S8 | 344.3 | Rb3-S10 | 364.2 |  |  |
| Rb1-S13 | 356.1 | Rb2-S14 | 357.8 | Rb3-S10 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 372.8 |  |  |
| Rb1-S9 | 360.1 | Rb2-S15 | 365.6 | Rb3-S4 | 374.5 |  |  |
| Rb1-S1 ${ }^{\prime}$ | 364.7 | Rb2-S10 | 374.5 | Rb3-S14 | 380.8 |  |  |
| Rb1-S6 | 365.2 | Rb2-S16 | 383.2 | Rb3-S12 | 383.0 |  |  |
| Rb1-S15 | 385.5 | Rb2-S6 | 391.9 | Rb3-S14' | 421.5 |  |  |
| Er1-S1 | 273.6 | Er2-S7 | 271.2 | Er3-S3 | 268.7 |  |  |
| Er1-S14 | 275.0 | Er2-S2 | 274.6 | Er3-S13 | 275.1 |  |  |
| Er1-S5 | 275.4 | Er2-S9 | 277.3 | Er3-S4 | 277.9 |  |  |
| Er1-S11 | 283.4 | Er2-S16 | 281.8 | Er3-S6 | 279.4 |  |  |
| Er1-S10 | 284.3 | Er2-S8 | 284.2 | Er3-S12 | 280.3 |  |  |
| Er1-S15 | 288.7 | Er2-S12 | 292.6 | Er3-S16 | 286.0 |  |  |
| Er1-S8 | 302.7 | Er2-S15 | 298.6 | Er3-S11 | 306.3 |  |  |
| Er1-S4 | 348.0 | Er2-S4 | 317.5 | Er3-S8 | 326.6 |  |  |
| P1-S1 | 202.5 | P2-S5 | 201.0 | P3-S9 | 201.9 | P4-S13 | 201.6 |
| P1-S2 | 202.7 | -S6 | 202.5 | P3-S10 | 202.5 | P4-S14 | 203.9 |
| P1-S3 | 204.6 | -S7 | 204.4 | P3-S11 | 203.5 | P4-S15 | 206.4 |
| P1-S4 | 205.6 | -S8 | 207.9 | P3-S12 | 206.0 | P4-S16 | 204.8 |
| S1-P1-S2 | 110.2 | S5-P2-S6 | 113.7 | S9-P3-S10 | 115.7 | S13-P4-S14 | 114.5 |
| S1-P1-S3 | 110.4 | S5-P2-S7 | 112.3 | S9-P3-S11 | 114.0 | S13-P4-S15 | 113.9 |
| S1-P1-S4 | 110.1 | S5-P2-S8 | 106.8 | S9-P3-S12 | 102.5 | S13-P4-S16 | 103.8 |
| S2-P1-S3 | 108.7 | S6-P2-S7 | 107.8 | S10-P3-S11 | 106.3 | S14-P4-S15 | 105.4 |
| S2-P1-S4 | 108.3 | S6-P2-S8 | 107.4 | S10-P3-S12 | 113.1 | S14-P4-S16 | 113.5 |
| S3-P1-S4 | 109.2 | S7-P2-S8 | 108.7 | S11-P3-S12 | 104.9 | S15-P4-S16 | 105.7 |



Fig. 1. Cationic surrounding of the isolated $\left[(\mathrm{P} 1) \mathrm{S}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ and $\left[(\mathrm{P} 2) \mathrm{S}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ tetrahedra in $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ (left) and $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \operatorname{Er}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ (right).
course. Both share three edges with $\left[M \mathrm{~S}_{8}\right]^{13-}$ polyhedra about the rare-earth cations such that one sulfur atom is coordinated to all three $M^{3+}$ cations plus a terminal rubidium atom. The other three edges of the $\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ tetrahedra are capped by three $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$cations although in the $\left[(\mathrm{P} 2) \mathrm{S}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ unit one of these rubidium atoms has a very large distance of about 450 pm to one of the sulfur atoms and can therefore not be termed as edge-sharing with the corresponding $\left[\mathrm{RbS}_{10}\right]^{19-}$ polyhedron. The phosphorus-centered tetrahedra $\left[(\mathrm{P} 3) \mathrm{S}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ and $\left[(\mathrm{P} 4) \mathrm{S}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ are slightly different (Fig. 2), however. Even though both are coordinated by three rubidium and three rare-earth cations by sharing all edges with each cation polyhedron such that every sulfur atom has no more than two contacts to a rare-earth cation, the additional terminal rubidium cations behave differently. With the praseodymium compound, the $\left[(\mathrm{P} 3) \mathrm{S}_{4}\right]^{3-}$
tetrahedra have one and the $\left[(\mathrm{P} 4) \mathrm{S}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ tetrahedra even three terminal $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$cations as compared to two for each $\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ tetrahedron about phosphorus P3 and P4 in the erbium compound. This phenomenon is caused by the different stacking of the ${ }_{\infty}^{2}\left\{\left[M_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}\right]^{3-}\right\}$ layers bringing the $\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ tetrahedra to a different arrangement relative to one another as discussed later. These layers (Fig. 3) contain the afore-described $\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ tetrahedra and the three crystallographically different rareearth cations (Fig. 4). The $(M 1)^{3+}$ cation is surrounded by eight sulfur atoms, all part of edge-on coordinating $\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ tetrahedra, in the shape of a square antiprism as analogously found in $\mathrm{Cs}_{4} \operatorname{Pr}_{2}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{2}\left[\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{6}\right]$ $(d(\mathrm{Pr}-\mathrm{S})=289-330 \mathrm{pm}) \quad[8] \quad$ or $\quad \mathrm{NaEr}_{3} \mathrm{~S}_{3}\left[\mathrm{SiS}_{4}\right]$ $(d(\mathrm{Er}-\mathrm{S})=270-320 \mathrm{pm})$ [12]. Both the $(M 2)^{3+}$ and the $(M 3)^{3+}$ cations are also eightfold coordinated by sulfur atoms as four edges of four attached different


Fig. 2. Cationic surrounding of the isolated $\left[(P 3) \mathrm{S}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ and $\left[(\mathrm{P} 4) \mathrm{S}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ tetrahedra in $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ (left) and $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \operatorname{Er}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ (right).


Fig. 3. Arrangement within the ${ }_{\infty}^{2}\left\{\left[M_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}\right]^{3-}\right\}$ layers in $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} M_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}(M=\operatorname{Pr}, \mathrm{Er})$.


Fig. 4. Coordination environment about the rare-earth cations in $R b_{3} \operatorname{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ (left) and $R b_{3} E r_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ (right).
$\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ tetrahedra build up bicapped trigonal prisms. This is a well-known arrangement for rare-earth cations in sulfides as in the A-type $M_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ binaries [13,14] or in the oxygen-poor oxysulfides $M_{10} \mathrm{OS}_{14}[15,16]$; likewise the phenomena caused by the lanthanide contraction decrease the coordination number from 8 to $7+1$ with shrinking cationic radius just like in the U-type $M_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ binaries $[17,18]$. The same effect can be observed in the title compounds by shifting from praseodymium to erbium. A closer look at the distances within these polyhedra reveals values of $281-323 \mathrm{pm}$ for $d\left(\operatorname{Pr}^{3+}-\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right)$ and of $269-306 \mathrm{pm}$ for $d\left(\mathrm{Er}^{3+}-\mathrm{S}^{2-}\right)$ plus 317 pm for $d($ Er2-S4) and 327 pm for $d(\mathrm{Er} 3-\mathrm{S} 8)$, respectively, for the distant caps of the two trigonal prisms. All these distances are in common ranges as compared, e.g., to the pseudo-binary $M\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]$-type ortho-thiophosphates $(d(\operatorname{Pr}-\mathrm{S})=289-305 \mathrm{pm} ; \quad d(\mathrm{Er}-\mathrm{S})=287-289 \mathrm{pm})$ $[1-2,19]$ or C-type $\operatorname{Pr}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(d(\operatorname{Pr}-\mathrm{S})=287-307 \mathrm{pm})$ [20] and D-type $\mathrm{Er}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}(d(\mathrm{Er}-\mathrm{S})=261-294 \mathrm{pm})$ [21], respectively. All rare-earth cations are surrounded ap-
proximately tetrahedrally by four $\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ units building up a layer of the composition ${ }_{\infty}^{2}\left\{\left[M_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}\right]^{3-}\right\}$ (Fig. 3), which offers empty sites where the rubidium cations $(\mathrm{Rb} 1)^{+}$are located. These $(\mathrm{Rb} 1)^{+}$cations reside in tenfold coordination (Fig. 5) in the shape of a tetracapped trigonal antiprism exhibiting rubidium to sulfur distances between 340 and 386 pm in both compounds, which are slightly larger than those in the $\mathrm{Rb}_{2} \mathrm{SS}_{2}$-type ternaries $(d(\mathrm{Rb}-\mathrm{S}) \approx 335 \mathrm{pm}, 6 \times$ ) [22] obviously due to the short $\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}$ contacts ( $318-342 \mathrm{pm}$ ) as edges within the chelating complex $\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]^{3-}$ anions. In both compounds the $(\mathrm{Rb} 2)^{+}$and $(\mathrm{Rb} 3)^{+}$cations are also tenfold coordinated, but in different and quite irregular shapes (Fig. 5). The rubidium-sulfur contacts are barely adequate and much like the Rb1-S distances. They range from 326 up to 422 pm (see Table 3). These two $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$cations are situated between the ${ }_{\infty}^{2}\left\{\left[M_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}\right]^{3-}\right\}$ layers, which explains their different and irregular surrounding. In the case of the praseodymium compound, these layers are arranged perpendi-


Fig. 5. Coordination environment about the rubidium cations in $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ (left) and $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Er}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ (right).
cular to (100) and stacked with a repetition period of three (Fig. 6, above). For the erbium compound the layers are stacked parallel to (010) with a repetition period of only two (Fig. 6, below). Therefore, the title compounds fit well into the structure family of the pseudo-ternary rare-earth(III) ortho-thiophosphates(V) where the alkali to rare-earth metal ratio decides whether it is a layered structure or not. With its equal amounts of the two metal components (rubidium and rare-earth) they are situated on the layer-forming site of the equation, facing the compounds with lower (e.g., $\mathrm{Cs}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{5}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{6}[7]$ ) or no amounts of alkali metals ( $M\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]$
[1-3]) at all forming three-dimensional networks with increasing cross-linkage.
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Fig. 6. Stacking of the ${ }_{\infty}^{2}\left\{\left[M_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}\right]^{3-}\right\}$ layers and view at the crystal structures of $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \mathrm{Pr}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ (above) and $\mathrm{Rb}_{3} \operatorname{Er}_{3}\left[\mathrm{PS}_{4}\right]_{4}$ (below).
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